

# CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL

---

In re Holocaust Victim Assets Litigation  
Case No. CV96-4849

## **Certified Denial**

to Claimant [REDACTED]

**in re Account of S. Bodenheimer**

Claim Number: 784648/SB<sup>1</sup>

This Certified Denial is based on the claim of [REDACTED] (the “Claimant”) to the account of Samuel Bodenheimer.<sup>2</sup> This Denial is to the account of S. Bodenheimer (the “Account Owner”) at the [REDACTED] (the “Bank”).

All denials are published, but where a claimant has requested confidentiality, as in this case, the names of the claimant, any relatives of the claimant other than the account owner, and the bank have been redacted.

### **Information Provided by the Claimant**

The Claimant submitted an Initial Questionnaire asserting that her paternal grandfather, Samuel Bodenheimer, who was married to [REDACTED], owned a Swiss bank account. The Claimant stated that her grandfather, who was Jewish, was a farmer who resided in Germany. The Claimant did not indicate the fate of her grandfather, but did state that several members of her family perished during the Second World War. The Claimant indicated that she was born on 29 October 1933.

### **Information Available in the Bank’s Records**

The CRT notes that the Claimant submitted a claim to an account belonging to her relative, Samuel Bodenheimer. The auditors who carried out the investigation to identify accounts of

---

<sup>1</sup> The Claimant did not submit a Claim Form to the Claims Resolution Tribunal. However, in 1999 she submitted an Initial Questionnaire, numbered ENG-0574052, to the Court in the United States. Although this Initial Questionnaire was not a Claim Form, the Court, in an Order signed on 30 July 2001, ordered that those Initial Questionnaires which can be processed as claim forms be treated as timely claims. Order Concerning Use of Initial Questionnaire Responses as Claim Forms in the Claims Resolution Process for Deposited Assets (July 30, 2001). The Initial Questionnaire was forwarded to the CRT and has been assigned claim number 784648.

<sup>2</sup> The CRT did not locate an account belonging to Samuel Bodenheimer in the Account History Database prepared pursuant to the investigation of the Independent Committee of Eminent Persons (“ICEP” or “ICEP Investigation”), which identified accounts probably or possibly belonging to Victims of Nazi Persecution, as defined in the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the “Rules”).

Victims of Nazi Persecution pursuant to instructions of the Independent Committee of Eminent Persons (“ICEP” or the “ICEP Investigation”) reported one account whose owner’s first name initial and last name matches that provided by the Claimant. The account is identified below by its Account Identification Number, which is a number assigned to the account by the ICEP auditors for tracking purposes.

#### Account 1003712

The Bank’s records indicate that the Account Owner was S. Bodenheimer. The Bank’s records also indicate the Account Owner’s professional or academic title.

### **The CRT’s Analysis**

#### Admissibility of the Claim

The CRT has determined that the claim is admissible according to Article 18 of the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the “Rules”).

#### Identification of the Account Owner

The CRT concludes that the Claimant has not identified the Account Owner as her relative. Although the name of her grandfather matches the unpublished first name initial and last name of the Account Owner, the information provided by the Claimant differs from the unpublished information about the Account Owner available in the Bank’s records. Specifically, the Claimant stated that her grandfather was a farmer. In contrast, the Bank’s records show that the Account Owner held a title which is inconsistent with the Claimant’s grandfather’s occupation of farmer. Consequently, the CRT is unable to conclude that the Account Owner and the Claimant’s grandfather are the same person.

#### Right of Appeal and Request for Reconsideration

Pursuant to Article 30 of the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the “Rules”), the Claimant may appeal this decision or submit a request for reconsideration within ninety (90) days of the date of the letter accompanying this decision.

An appeal must be based upon a plausible suggestion of error regarding the CRT’s conclusions set out in this decision. Any appeals which are submitted without a plausible suggestion of error shall be summarily denied. A request for reconsideration must be based on new documentary evidence not previously presented to the CRT that, if considered, would have led to a different outcome of the claim. Claimants should briefly explain the relevance of the newly submitted documents in view of the conclusions stated in the certified decision.

The Claimant should send appeals and/or requests for reconsideration in writing to the following address: Oren Wiener, Claims Resolution Tribunal, Attention: Appeals / Request for Reconsideration, P.O. Box 9564, 8036 Zurich, Switzerland. If more than one account has been

treated in this decision, the Claimant should identify the account, including, where available, the Account Identification Number, that forms the basis of the appeal and/or request for reconsideration.

### **Scope of the Denial**

The Claimant should be aware that the CRT will carry out further research on her claim to determine whether an award may be made based upon the information provided by the Claimant or upon information from other sources.

### **Certification of the Denial**

The CRT certifies this Denial for approval by the Court.

Claims Resolution Tribunal  
27 February 2007