

CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL

In re Holocaust Victim Assets Litigation
Case No. CV96-4849

Certified Denial

to Claimant Anny Calin

in re Account of Sylvia L. Camerling

Claim Number: 005390/SB

This Certified Denial is based on the claim of Anny Calin, née Kamerling, (the “Claimant”) to the account of Sylvia Kamerling. This Denial is to the published account of Sylvia L. Camerling (the “Account Owner”) at the [REDACTED] (the “Bank”).¹

All denials are published. Where a claimant has not requested confidentiality, as in this case, only the name of the bank has been redacted.

Information Provided by the Claimant

The Claimant submitted a Claim Form asserting that her aunt, Sylvia Kamerling, who was Jewish, owned a Swiss bank account. The Claimant did not provide detailed information about her aunt, other than to state that she resided in Bucharest, Romania and to indicate that she was married to Leon-Wylli Kamerling. The Claimant indicated that she was born on 1 March 1915 in Bucharest.

The Claimant submitted documents in support of her claim, including her French travel documents which indicates her date and place of birth, and that her maiden name was spelled Kamerling.

Information Available in the Bank’s Records

The CRT notes that the Claimant submitted a claim to an account belonging to her relative, Sylvia Kamerling. The auditors who carried out the investigation to identify accounts of Victims of Nazi Persecution pursuant to instructions of the Independent Committee of Eminent Persons (“ICEP” or the “ICEP Investigation”) reported one account whose owner’s name is substantially similar to that provided by the Claimant. The account is identified below by its Account

¹ The CRT did not locate an account belonging to Sylvia Kamerling in the Account History Database prepared pursuant to the investigation of the Independent Committee of Eminent Persons (“ICEP” or “ICEP Investigation”), which identified accounts probably or possibly belonging to Victims of Nazi Persecution, as defined in the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the “Rules”).

Identification Number, which is a number assigned to the account by the ICEP auditors for tracking purposes.

Account 4023266

The Bank's records indicate that the Account Owner was Sylvia L. Camerling, who resided in Bucharest, Romania.

The CRT's Analysis

Admissibility of the Claim

The CRT has determined that the claim is admissible according to Article 18 of the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the "Rules").

Identification of the Account Owner

The CRT concludes that the Claimant has not identified the Account Owner as her relative. Although the name and country of residence of her aunt are substantially similar to the published name and country of residence of the Account Owner, the information provided by the Claimant differs from the published information about the Account Owner available in the Bank's records. Specifically, the Claimant stated that her aunt's family name was spelled Kamerling. In contrast, the Bank's records show that the Account Owner's name was spelled Camerling. Further, the CRT has awarded the account to another claimant who plausibly identified the Account Owner as her relative. All decisions are published upon release on the CRT's website at www.crt-ii.org. Consequently, the CRT is unable to conclude that the Account Owner and the Claimant's aunt are the same person.

Right of Appeal

Pursuant to Article 30 of the Rules, the Claimant may appeal this Denial to the Court through the Special Masters within ninety (90) days of the date of the letter accompanying this decision. Appeals should be delivered to the following address: Office of Special Master Michael Bradfield, 51 Louisiana Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20001 USA.

The Claimant should send appeals in writing to the above address and should include all reasons for the appeal. If more than one account has been denied in this Certified Denial, the Claimant should identify the Account Identification Number, which forms the basis of the appeal. Appeals submitted without either a plausible suggestion of error or relevant new evidence may be summarily denied.

Scope of the Denial

The Claimant should be aware that the CRT will carry out further research on her claim to

determine whether an award may be made based upon the information provided by the Claimant or upon information from other sources.

Certification of the Denial

The CRT certifies this Denial for approval by the Court.

Claims Resolution Tribunal
2 June 2006