

# CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL

---

In re Holocaust Victim Assets Litigation  
Case No. CV96-4849

## **Certified Denial**

to Claimant [REDACTED]

**in re Account of J. Feldmann<sup>1</sup>**

Claim Number: 215312/MG<sup>2</sup>

This Certified Denial is based on the claim of [REDACTED], née [REDACTED], (the “Claimant”) to the account of Jozsef Feldmann. The CRT did not locate an account belonging to Jozsef Feldmann in the Account History Database prepared pursuant to the investigation of the Independent Committee of Eminent Persons (“ICEP” or “ICEP Investigation”), which identified accounts probably or possibly belonging to Victims of Nazi Persecution, as defined in the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the “Rules”). This Denial is to the published account of J. Feldmann (the “Account Owner”) at the [REDACTED] (the “Bank”).

All denials are published, but where a claimant has requested confidentiality, as in this case, the names of the claimant, any relatives of the claimant other than the account owner, and the bank have been redacted.

### **Information Provided by the Claimant**

The Claimant submitted a Claim Form asserting that her biological father, Jozsef Feldmann, who was born in 1900 in Siofok, Hungary, owned a Swiss bank account. The Claimant stated that her father was married to [REDACTED], née [REDACTED], and that the couple resided in Budapest, Hungary. The Claimant stated that her father, who was Jewish, was a businessman in the textile business and had business contacts with Swiss firms and often traveled to Switzerland for business purposes. The Claimant indicated that her father’s business was confiscated by the Nazis, and that her father was deported to a slave labor camp. According to the Claimant, her father was released from the slave labor camp in May 1945 but passed away soon thereafter. The Claimant indicated that she was born on 14 May 1936 in Budapest.

---

<sup>1</sup> In an effort to locate any and all accounts that might have belonged to the Claimant’s relative, the CRT has reviewed and analyzed all accounts whose owners’ names are substantially similar to that of the Claimant’s relative, even if the Claimant did not specifically claim that particular account. Moreover, the CRT recognizes that, in many cases, spellings of names have changed due to the passage of time in the decades since the Second World War, as well as due to the transcription of names into different languages.

<sup>2</sup> The Claimant submitted an Initial Questionnaire with the Court in 1999 and a Claim Form to the CRT. The CRT is treating the Initial Questionnaire and the Claim Form under the consolidated Claim Number 215312.

The Claimant previously submitted an Initial Questionnaire with the Court in 1999, asserting her entitlement to a Swiss bank account owned by Jozsef Feldmann.

The Claimant submitted documents in support of her application, including her birth and marriage certificates.

### **Information Available in the Bank's Records**

The CRT notes that the Claimant submitted a claim to an account belonging to her relative, Jozsef Feldmann. The auditors who carried out the ICEP Investigation reported one account whose owner's name was substantially similar to that provided by the Claimant. The account is identified below by its Account Identification Number, which is a number assigned to the account by the ICEP auditors for tracking purposes.

#### Account 2016288

The Bank's records indicate that the Account Owner was J. Feldmann, who resided in Bandung (Bandung), Indonesia.

### **The CRT's Analysis**

#### Admissibility of the Claim

The CRT has determined that the claim is admissible according to Article 18 of the Rules.

#### Identification of the Account Owner

The CRT concludes that the Claimant has not identified Account Owner as her relative. Although the name of her father is substantially similar to the published name of the Account Owner, the information provided by the Claimant differs materially from the published information about the Account Owner available in the Bank's records. Specifically, the Claimant stated that her father resided in Hungary. In contrast, the Bank's records show that the Account Owner resided in Indonesia. Consequently, the CRT is unable to conclude that the Account Owner and the Claimant's father are the same person.

#### Right of Appeal

Pursuant to Article 30 of the Rules, the Claimant may appeal this Denial to the Court through the Special Masters within ninety (90) days of the date of the letter accompanying this decision. Appeals should be delivered to the following address: Office of the Special Master, c/o Claims Resolution Tribunal, P.O. Box 9564, 8036 Zurich, Switzerland.

The Claimant should send appeals in writing to the above address and should include all reasons for the appeal. If more than one account has been denied in this Certified Denial, the Claimant should identify the Account Identification Number that forms the basis of the appeal. Appeals

submitted without either a plausible suggestion of error or relevant new evidence may be summarily denied.

### **Scope of the Denial**

The Claimant should be aware that the CRT will carry out further research on her claim to determine whether an award may be made based upon the information provided by the Claimant or upon information from other sources.

### **Certification of the Denial**

The CRT certifies this Denial for approval by the Court.

Claims Resolution Tribunal  
19 November 2004