

# CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL

---

In re Holocaust Victim Assets Litigation  
Case No. CV96-4849

## **Certified Denial**

to Claimant Gyula Grosz

**Claimed Account Owner: Sandor Grosz<sup>1</sup>**

Claim Number: 400383/SB

This Certified Denial is to the claim of Gyula Grosz (the “Claimant”) to the Swiss bank account over which D. Grosz held power of attorney, as published on the list of account owners in 2005, and potentially owned by the Claimant’s relative, Sandor (David) Grosz (the “Claimed Account Owner”).

All denials are published. Where a claimant has not requested confidentiality, as in this case, only the name of the bank has been redacted.

### **Information Provided by the Claimant**

The Claimant submitted a claim stating that Sandor Grosz, who was Jewish, resided in Hungary.

### **The CRT’s Investigation**

The CRT matched the name of Sandor (David) Grosz to the names of all account owners and power of attorney holders in the Account History Database and identified accounts belonging to individuals whose names match, or are substantially similar to, the name of the Claimed Account Owner. In doing so, the CRT used advanced name matching systems and computer programs, and considered variations of names, including name variations provided by Yad Vashem, The Holocaust Martyrs’ and Heroes’ Remembrance Authority, in Jerusalem, Israel, to ensure that all possible name matches were identified. However, a close review of the relevant bank records indicated that the information contained therein was inconsistent with the information the Claimant provided regarding the Claimed Account Owner. Accordingly, the CRT was unable to conclude that any of these accounts belonged to the Claimed Account Owner.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Claimant should note that this determination relates only to the Claim Number and Claimed Account Owner stated above, and that the CRT is aware that the Claimant may have submitted other claims.

## The CRT's Analysis

### Identification of the Account Owner

The list below contains names of account owners, and power of attorney holders, that match the name of the Claimed Account Owner and the reasons why the CRT has concluded that the Claimed Account Owner and an account owner, or power of attorney holder, are not the same person. If an account owner's place of residence was published, that place of residence is also listed.

*Name: D. Grosz (power of attorney holder)*

*Account Identification Number: 5029732*

*The Claimant submitted a claim to the published power of attorney holder, D. Grosz. However, due to the limited information in the records regarding the power of attorney holder, the CRT is unable to determine if the Claimant has identified the power of attorney holder as his relative. The CRT notes, however, that there is nothing in the records to indicate that the power of attorney holder was related to the account owner, whom the Claimant did not identify. The fact that the Claimant did not identify the account owner is of significance, because under Swiss law a power of attorney holder is not considered to be the owner of an account. After a power of attorney holder dies, his or her powers in an account no longer exist, and they do not pass to his or her heirs. Therefore, even if the Claimant had identified the power of attorney holder, but not the account owner, as his relative, the Claimant would still not be entitled to the account.*

The Claimant should note that all accounts awarded by the CRT are published upon release on the CRT's website at [www.crt-ii.org](http://www.crt-ii.org).

### Right of Appeal and Request for Reconsideration

Pursuant to Article 30 of the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the "Rules"), the Claimant may appeal this decision or submit a request for reconsideration within ninety (90) days of the date of the letter accompanying this decision.

An appeal must be based upon a plausible suggestion of error regarding the CRT's conclusions set out in this decision. Any appeals which are submitted without a plausible suggestion of error shall be summarily denied. A request for reconsideration must be based on new documentary evidence not previously presented to the CRT that, if considered, would have led to a different outcome of the claim. Claimants should briefly explain the relevance of the newly submitted documents in view of the conclusions stated in the certified decision.

The Claimant should send appeals and/or requests for reconsideration in writing to the following address: Oren Wiener, Claims Resolution Tribunal, Attention: Appeals / Request for Reconsideration, P.O. Box 9564, 8036 Zurich, Switzerland. If more than one account has been treated in this decision, the Claimant should identify the account, including, where available, the Account Identification Number, that forms the basis of the appeal and/or request for reconsideration.

**Scope of the Denial**

The CRT now considers the Claimant's claim to the accounts of Sandor Grosz closed. Please note that this decision applies only to the Claim Number and Claimed Account Owner identified herein, and that the CRT is aware that the Claimant may have claimed accounts held by other persons or entities both in the Claim Number specified above and in other claims submitted to the CRT.

**Certification of the Denial**

The CRT certifies this Denial for approval by the Court.

Claims Resolution Tribunal  
23 June 2008