

# CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL

---

In re Holocaust Victim Assets Litigation  
Case No. CV96-4849

## **Certified Denial**

to Claimant Yonatan Nevo  
also acting on behalf of Orit Nevo

**in re Accounts of Siegfried Moses  
and  
Accounts of Samuel Moses**

Claim Number: 004459/AV<sup>1</sup>

This Certified Denial is based on the claim of Yonatan Nevo (the “Claimant”) to the published accounts of Siegfried Moses (“Account Owner 1”) and Samuel Moses (“Account Owner 2”) (together the “Account Owners”) at the [REDACTED] (the “Bank”).

All denials are published. Where a claimant has not requested confidentiality, as in this case, only the name of the bank has been redacted.

### **Information Provided by the Claimant**

The Claimant submitted a Claim Form asserting that his grandfather, Siegfried (Samuel) Moses, who was married to Nechama Moses, née Oppenheim, in around 1914 in Königsberg, Germany (today Kaliningrad, Russia), owned a Swiss bank account. The Claimant stated that his grandfather, who was Jewish, was a lumber merchant. The Claimant further stated that his grandfather resided in Königsberg until sometime after 1933, when he fled to Palestine. The Claimant indicated that he was born on 4 November 1963 in Kibbutz Ginossar, Israel.

### **Information Available in the Bank’s Records**

The CRT notes that the Claimant submitted a claim to an account belonging to his relative, Siegfried (Samuel) Moses. The auditors who carried out the investigation to identify accounts of Victims of Nazi Persecution pursuant to instructions of the Independent Committee of Eminent Persons (“ICEP” or the “ICEP Investigation”) reported four accounts whose owners’ names match those provided by the Claimant. Upon review of the bank documents, the CRT also located two additional accounts that were not reported by the auditors. Each account is identified below by its Account Identification Number, which is a number assigned to the account by the ICEP auditors for tracking purposes.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Claimant submitted two Claim Forms, which were registered under the Claim Numbers 100077 and 004459. The CRT has determined that these claims are duplicate claims and is treating them under the consolidated Claim Number 004459.

Account 1000167, Account 1000167.1, and Account 1000167.2

The Bank's records indicate that Account Owner 1 was Siegfried Moses, who resided in Germany. The Bank's records also indicate Account Owner 1's city of residence. Furthermore, the Bank's records indicate the dates of opening and closing of the accounts at issue.

Account 1010638, Account 1010639, and Account 1010640

The Bank's records indicate that Account Owner 2 was Samuel Moses, who resided in Germany. The Bank's records also indicate Account Owner 2's city of residence. Furthermore, the Bank's records indicate the dates of opening and closing of the accounts at issue.

**The CRT's Analysis**

Admissibility of the Claim

The CRT has determined that the claim is admissible according to Article 18 of the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the "Rules").

Identification of the Account Owners

As for Account 1000167, Account 1000167.1, and Account 1000167.2, the CRT concludes that the Claimant has not identified Account Owner 1 as his relative. Although the name of his grandfather matches the published name of Account Owner 1, the information provided by the Claimant differs from the unpublished information about Account Owner 1 available in the Bank's records. Specifically, the Claimant stated that his grandfather resided in Königsberg. In contrast, the Bank's records show that Account Owner 1 resided in a different city, over 500 kilometers from Königsberg, to which the Claimant established no connection. Consequently, the CRT is unable to conclude that Account Owner 1 and the Claimant's grandfather are the same person. Moreover, it should be noted that the CRT has awarded the accounts to another claimant, who plausibly identified Account Owner 1 as her relative. All decisions are published upon release on the CRT's website at [www.crt-ii.org](http://www.crt-ii.org).

As for Account 1010638, Account 1010639, and Account 1010640, the CRT concludes that the Claimant has not identified Account Owner 2 as his relative. Although the name of his grandfather matches the published name of Account Owner 2, the information provided by the Claimant differs from the unpublished information about Account Owner 2 available in the Bank's records. Specifically, the Claimant stated that his grandfather resided in Königsberg. In contrast, the Bank's records show that Account Owner 2 resided in a different city, over 900 kilometers from Königsberg, to which the Claimant established no connection. Consequently, the CRT is unable to conclude that Account Owner 2 and the Claimant's grandfather are the same person.

### Right of Appeal

Pursuant to Article 30 of the Rules, the Claimant may appeal this Denial to the Court through the Special Masters within ninety (90) days of the date of the letter accompanying this decision. Appeals should be delivered to the following address: Office of Special Master Michael Bradfield, 52 Louisiana Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20002 USA.

The Claimant should send appeals in writing to the above address and should include all reasons for the appeal. If more than one account has been denied in this Certified Denial, the Claimant should identify the Account Identification Number, which forms the basis of the appeal. Appeals submitted without either a plausible suggestion of error or relevant new evidence may be summarily denied.

### **Scope of the Denial**

The Claimant should be aware that the CRT will carry out further research on his claim to determine whether an award may be made based upon the information provided by the Claimant or upon information from other sources.

### **Certification of the Denial**

The CRT certifies this Denial for approval by the Court.

Claims Resolution Tribunal  
23 January 2006