CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL

In re Holocaust Victim Assets Litigation
Case No. CV96-4849

Certified Denial

to Claimant Mikhael Glazman

Claimed Account Owner: Hugo Neuburger and Mikhael Glazman[1]

Claim Number: 211061/RT

This determination is based on the claim of Mikhael Glazman (the "Claimant") to Swiss bank account potentially owned by Hugo Neuburger or by the Claimant himself. The CRT did not locate an account belonging to Mikhael Glazman in the Account History Database prepared pursuant to the investigation of the Independent Committee of Eminent Persons ("ICEP" or "ICEP Investigation"), which identified accounts probably or possibly belonging to Victims of Nazi Persecution, as defined in the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the "Rules").

This Certified Denial is to a Swiss bank account potentially owned by the Claimant's former employer, Hugo Neuburger (the "Claimed Account Owner").

All denials are published, but where a claimant has requested confidentiality, as in this case, the names of the claimant, any relatives of the claimant other than the account owner, and the bank have been redacted.

Information Provided by the Claimant

The Claimant, who is Jewish, submitted a claim stating that between 1943 and 1946 he resided in Wainer [sic], Germany, where he worked for Hugo Neuburger. The Claimant further stated that Hugo Neuburger deposited money at a Swiss bank on the Claimant's behalf. Although the Claimant did not state so in his claim form, the CRT presumes that he was forced to come to Germany and work as a slave laborer.

The CRT's Investigation

The CRT matched the name of Hugo Neuburger to the names of all account owners in the Account History Database and identified accounts belonging to individuals whose names match, or are substantially similar to, the name of the Claimed Account Owner. In doing so, the CRT

[1] The Claimant should note that this determination relates only to the Claim Number and Claimed Account Owners stated above, and that the CRT is aware that the Claimant may have submitted other claims.

used advanced name matching systems and computer programs, and considered variations of names, including name variations provided by Yad Vashem, The Holocaust Martyrs' and Heroes' Remembrance Authority**,** in Jerusalem, Israel, to ensure that all possible name matches were identified. However, a close review of the relevant bank records indicated that the information contained therein was inconsistent with the information the Claimant provided regarding the Claimed Account Owner. Accordingly, the CRT was unable to conclude that any of these accounts belonged to the Claimed Account Owner.

The CRT's Analysis

Identification of the Account Owner

The list below contains names of account owners that match the name of the Claimed Account Owner and the reasons why the CRT has concluded that the Claimed Account Owner and an account owner are not the same person. If an account owner's place of residence was published, that place of residence is also listed.

Name: Hugo Neuburger (Germany)
Account Identification Numbers: 1010771; 1010772; 1010773; 1010774; 1010775; 1010776; 1010777
Specifically, the Claimant stated that between 1943 and 1946 he resided in Wainer, Germany, where he worked for Hugo Neuburger. The Claimant further stated that Hugo Neuburger deposited money at a Swiss bank on the Claimant's behalf. In contrast, the records show that the account owner resided in a different city and that the accounts were opened many years before the Claimant worked for his employer in Germany. The CRT further notes that the bank records do not indicate that the assets were deposited on behalf of another person other than the account owner himself.

The Claimant should note that all accounts awarded by the CRT are published upon release on the CRT's website at www.crt-ii.org.

Right of Appeal and Request for Reconsideration

Pursuant to Article 30 of the Rules, the Claimant may appeal this decision or submit a request for reconsideration within ninety (90) days of the date of the letter accompanying this decision.

An appeal must be based upon a plausible suggestion of error regarding the CRT's conclusions set out in this decision. Any appeals which are submitted without a plausible suggestion of error shall be summarily denied. A request for reconsideration must be based on new documentary evidence not previously presented to the CRT that, if considered, would have led to a different outcome of the claim. Claimants should briefly explain the relevance of the newly submitted documents in view of the conclusions stated in the certified decision.

The Claimant should send appeals and/or requests for reconsideration in writing to the following address: Oren Wiener, Claims Resolution Tribunal, Attention: Appeals / Request for

Reconsideration, P.O. Box 9564, 8036 Zurich, Switzerland. If more than one account has been treated in this decision, the Claimant should identify the account, including, where available, the Account Identification Number, that forms the basis of the appeal and/or request for reconsideration.

Scope of the Denial

The CRT now considers the Claimant's claim to the accounts of Hugo Neuburger and Mikhael Glazman closed. Please note that this decision applies only to the Claim Number and Claimed Account Owners identified herein, and that the CRT is aware that the Claimant may have claimed accounts held by other persons or entities both in the Claim Number specified above and in other claims submitted to the CRT.

Certification of the Denial

The CRT certifies this Denial for approval by the Court.

Claims Resolution Tribunal
19 July 2007