

# CLAIMS RESOLUTION TRIBUNAL

---

In re Holocaust Victim Assets Litigation  
Case No. CV96-4849

## **Certified Denial**

to Claimant Ilona Boda

**Claimed Account Owner: S. Spitzer<sup>1</sup>**

Claim Number: 400997/SB

This Certified Denial is to the claim of Ilona Boda (the “Claimant”) to the Swiss bank account, as published on the list of account owners in 2005, over which S. Spitzer held power of attorney, and potentially owned by the Claimant’s relatives, Sarah Spitzer, Sandy (Sandor) Spitzer, Samuel Spitzer, or Siegmund (Sigmund) Spitzer (the “Claimed Account Owners”).

All denials are published. Where a claimant has not requested confidentiality, as in this case, only the name of the bank has been redacted.

### **Information Provided by the Claimant**

The Claimant submitted a claim stating that her relatives’ full names were Sarah Spitzer, Sandy (Sandor) Spitzer, Samuel Spitzer, and Siegmund (Sigmund) Spitzer.

### **The CRT’s Investigation**

The CRT matched the names of Sarah Spitzer, Sandy (Sandor) Spitzer and Siegmund (Sigmund) Spitzer to the names of all account owners and power of attorney holders in the Account History Database and identified accounts belonging to individuals whose names match, or are substantially similar to, the name of the Claimed Account Owners. In doing so, the CRT used advanced name matching systems and computer programs, and considered variations of names, including name variations provided by Yad Vashem, The Holocaust Martyrs’ and Heroes’ Remembrance Authority, in Jerusalem, Israel, to ensure that all possible name matches were identified. However, a close review of the relevant bank records indicated that the information contained therein was inconsistent with the information the Claimant provided regarding the Claimed Account Owners. Accordingly, the CRT was unable to conclude that any of these accounts belonged to the Claimed Account Owners.

---

<sup>1</sup> The Claimant should note that this determination relates only to the Claim Number and Claimed Account Owners stated above, and that the CRT is aware that the Claimant may have submitted other claims.

## The CRT's Analysis

### Identification of the Account Owner

The list below contains names of account owners and power of attorney holders that match the names of the Claimed Account Owners and the reasons why the CRT has concluded that the Claimed Account Owners and an account owner, or power of attorney holder, are not the same person. If an account owner's place of residence was published, that place of residence is also listed.

*Name: S. Spitzer (power of attorney holder)*

*Account Identification Number: 5029544*

*Specifically, the Claimant stated that the names of her relatives were Sarah Spitzer, Sandy (Sandor) Spitzer, Samuel Spitzer, and Siegmund Spitzer. In contrast, the records show that the power of attorney holder had a different first name. The CRT further notes that the Claimant did not identify the account owner or the other power of attorney holders, even though those persons were closely related to this power of attorney holder. In addition, the CRT notes that the Claimant did not identify the account owner, and that under Swiss law a power of attorney holder is not considered to be the owner of an account. After a power of attorney holder dies, his or her powers in an account no longer exist, and they do not pass to his or her heirs. Therefore, even if the Claimant had identified the power of attorney holder, but not the account owner, as her relative, the Claimant would not have been entitled to the account unless there was evidence in the records that the power of attorney holder and the account owner were related.*

The Claimant should note that all accounts awarded by the CRT are published upon release on the CRT's website at [www.crt-ii.org](http://www.crt-ii.org).

### Right of Appeal and Request for Reconsideration

Pursuant to Article 30 of the Rules Governing the Claims Resolution Process, as amended (the "Rules"), the Claimant may appeal this decision or submit a request for reconsideration within ninety (90) days of the date of the letter accompanying this decision.

An appeal must be based upon a plausible suggestion of error regarding the CRT's conclusions set out in this decision. Any appeals which are submitted without a plausible suggestion of error shall be summarily denied. A request for reconsideration must be based on new documentary evidence not previously presented to the CRT that, if considered, would have led to a different outcome of the claim. Claimants should briefly explain the relevance of the newly submitted documents in view of the conclusions stated in the certified decision.

The Claimant should send appeals and/or requests for reconsideration in writing to the following address: Oren Wiener, Claims Resolution Tribunal, Attention: Appeals / Request for Reconsideration, P.O. Box 9564, 8036 Zurich, Switzerland. If more than one account has been treated in this decision, the Claimant should identify the account, including, where available, the Account Identification Number, that forms the basis of the appeal and/or request for reconsideration.

### **Scope of the Denial**

The CRT now considers the Claimant's claim to the accounts of Sarah Spitzer, Sandy Spitzer, and Siegmund Spitzer closed. Please note that this decision applies only to the Claim Number and Claimed Account Owners identified herein, and that the CRT is aware that the Claimant may have claimed accounts held by other persons or entities both in the Claim Number specified above and in other claims submitted to the CRT.

### **Certification of the Denial**

The CRT certifies this Denial for approval by the Court.

Claims Resolution Tribunal  
29 September 2008